

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/701,790	Applicant(s) PERE, PAUL	
	Examiner Kambiz Zand	Art Unit 2132	

All Participants:

(1) Kambiz Zand.

(2) Mr. Kramsky.
Status of Application: Non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 April 2006
Time: 9 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 102 & 103

Claims discussed:
 Independent claims, claims 4, 6, 9, 24, 28, 38-39

Prior art documents discussed:
 Prior art of records

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

KAMBIZ ZAND
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that based on applicant's arguments in light of step (a) of claim 1, contents of claims 4, 6, 9, 24, 28, 38 and 39, the arguments would overcome the prior art of records if the following agreement can be reached:

1- step (a) of claim 1 be incorporated into independent claims 25 and 37.

2) contents of claim 4 or 6 or 9 or 24 be incorporated into independent claim 1.

3)content of claim 28 be incorporated into claim 25 in addition to limitation added in 1.

4)content of claim 38 or 39 be incorporated into independent claim 37 in additioion to limitation 1.

if agreed a final search would be conducted and based on that search if no other prior art do not read on applicant's agreed amended claims, the claims would be allowable.

Mr. Kramsky informed examiner on 04/17/2006 of Applicant's agreement with the above proposal. Claims are amended by examiner amendment in order to expedite the process of the examinatio INCLUDING ADDING NEW CLAIM 40 WHICH IS COMBINATION OF CLAIM 37, STEP A OF CLAIM 1 AND CLAIM 39..